

NEW YORK HERALD.

JAMES GORDON BENNETT,
PROPRIETOR AND EDITOR.

OFFICE N. W. CORNER OF FULTON AND NASSAU STS

THE DAILY HERALD, 2 cents per copy—\$7 per

THE WEEKLY HERALD, every Saturday, at 4th hands.THE HERALD OF THE WORLD, every Saturday, at 4th hands, containing the European Editions

of all the newspapers of Great Britain, and \$2 to any

part of the Continent, but to include the *Newspaper of the World*.

1000 PAGES OF NEWS, every day, containing

foreign news, selected from any quarter of the world;

and will be liberally paid for. Our Foreign Com-

munications and Particulars Received by Seal All

Post Paid. Please send us your Subscriptions, or with

advertisements, to be pasted up, or the passage will be de-

leted.

NO NOTICE taken of anonymous communications,

we do not return those rejected.

The Post Office receives everything.

TOP PRINTING executed with neatness, cheapness,

and dispatch.

Volume XVI. Number 68.

AMUSEMENTS THIS EVENING.

BOWERY THEATRE. Bowery—EDWARD III.—CLAUDE DUVAL.

ROADWAY THEATRE. Broadway—LAWN AND GARDEN—all That Glitters Is Not Gold.

WIBLOW'S GARDEN, BROADWAY—La MARENGO DE LANGE—GILBERT.

BURTON'S THEATRE, Chambers street—A SHORT REIGN and a Hairy One—TWO KILLED—WORLD'S FAIR.

NATIONAL THEATRE, Graham street—HARRY BURNES—RESCUED BY LEEWAY—THE GUARD'S DAUGHTER.

BROTHAMPTON LYCEUM, Broadway—Mr. FARNHAM JACK—Mr. DANIEL and Mrs. FARNHAM—The Zinc Boy.

CHRISTY'S MINSTRELS. Mechanics' Hall, 472 Broadway—CHRISETY'S MINSTRELS.

FELLOWS' MINSTRELS. Fellow's Opera House, 441 Broadway—CHRISETY'S MINSTRELS.

AMERICAN MUSEUM—ARMED PERFORMANCE AFTERNOON AND EVENING.

NEW YORK AMPHITHEATRE, St. Bowery—EQUESTRIAN PERFORMANCE.

WASHINGTON HALL—PANORAMA OF THE PHILIPPINE PROVINCES.

SEATLERS' COMICRAFTS, corner of Thirteenth street and Broadway.

MINERVA ROOMS—PANORAMA OF IRELAND.

DOUBLE SHEET.

New York, Monday, March 10, 1851.

The Emigration to California—The Prospects of the New State.

By every arrival from Europe, we perceive that the new State of California is engaging the earnest attention of that part of the world. Almost every newspaper and magazine that comes to hand contains an article on the subject; and the speculations which the writers indulge in are extremely interesting.

The gold producing characteristics of the new State on the Pacific, have become a fixed fact—a reality—and are treated as such; and on that basis, the journalists and magicians of the old world indulge in speculating to an unlimited extent, on the future destiny of that part of the American republic, and the influence which its gold deposits will exercise on the circulating medium of the whole world.

When the discoveries in California were first announced, they were received with a great deal of caution in the Atlantic States, and with more in Europe; but when con-

cernment after consciousness of gold dust, varying in value from five hundred thousand to one and a half, and sometimes two millions, of dollars, in a continuous stream, reached the Atlantic, the public became convinced that the reports from that country had a good foundation, and that the subject was worthy of serious consideration.

There were many, however, who, notwithstanding these substantial and convincing evidences, could not realize the fact that gold was actually won broad-

on the soil of California, or that it abounded in the quartz of the Sierra Nevada, in such quantities as to be apparently inexhaustible.

All debts, however, were dissipated, and California, and its gold mines have been for some time past looked upon in Europe, as well as in the United States, as a great fact—a wonderful reality, pregnant with philosophical reflection and financial speculation.

When it was ascertained in this region, and placed beyond all doubt, that California was as rich in gold as was represented to be, emigration set in from the Atlantic States to that territory, in a volume that is without a parallel in the history of this or any other country.

The cruelty of the old world did not suffer under the banner of the cross in greater numbers, or with more ardor than those to the weapons of the unbelievers, ranged under the crescent, than did the enterprising of our American people shoulder their muskets, and encounter the perils of the sea, or the sufferings of a route through the savage wilds of America, in pursuit of the abundant treasures of the famed California—a land which, although literally speaking, did not abound with milk and honey, possessed greater treasures that rivaled those of Ophir or Lydia. They went in thousands and tens of thousands, sysia and by land, by ship and by wagon, across the desert and around the stormy Cape.

The result was, that in an extremely short space of time towns were reared on the hunting grounds of the aborigines, and incipient villages were converted, as if by magic, into prosperous and thriving cities, which soon became of their mayors and corporation.

The emigration to California, or at least the great rush thither, has subsided, as far as the Atlantic States are concerned; but it is easy to perceive that thousands of the people of Europe will go there during the coming summer, in consequence of the importance and publicity given to California and her gold mines, by their journals and magazines. Writers and speculators in that part of the world, treat the subject as it was treated here when the annexation of the gold mines was demonstrated. The result will be the same there as it was here. A vast portion of the population will be induced to gather together their worldly wealth, and embark for a land where gold is so plenty—where it can be obtained by the labor of digging, and where every man who is industrious, can make as much in one year by his labor as he would in a month of home. The all important question, to this time, has been, Does California abound with gold, as we have heard? The journals and magazines answer in the affirmative, by treating it as an admitted fact.

California produces fine effects, and as human nature is much the same throughout the world, we may expect a very large emigration from the old world—especially from Great Britain and France—to California, during the ensuing season. Nor will it be a pauper emigration, for paupers cannot raise the amount necessary to travel on the motives and influences of New York, thence to the Isthmus of Panama, and thence to San Francisco.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

A vast portion of the population will be induced to gather together their worldly wealth, and embark for a land where gold is so plenty—where it can be obtained by the labor of digging, and where every man who is industrious, can make as much in one year by his labor as he would in a month of home. The all important question, to this time, has been, Does California abound with gold, as we have heard?

The journals and magazines answer in the affirmative, by treating it as an admitted fact.

California produces fine effects, and as human nature is much the same throughout the world, we may expect a very large emigration from the old world—especially from Great Britain and France—to California, during the ensuing season. Nor will it be a pauper emigration, for paupers cannot raise the amount necessary to travel on the motives and influences of New York, thence to the Isthmus of Panama, and thence to San Francisco.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they obtain for the additional \$3 what is so much reded—more light. The quantity of light from one of the public lamps in Brooklyn is fully double that from a lamp on the side of the East River. But the great question to be considered is this: Are the inhabitants of this metropolis to be compelled to pay \$25 per 1,000 cubic feet for gas, when light of an equally good quality (some say better) is furnished to the inhabitants of Philadelphia at \$2.25, and at that price bringing a handsome profit to the works.

That is an undesirable fact, which cannot be got rid of by any species of sophistry. Yet, notwithstanding the affluence of the gold mines was demonstrated, the result will be the same there as it was here.

The report of the majority of the Board of Aldermen on this gas question, is a remarkable instance of how far they must have calculated upon the gullibility of the public, if, indeed, they were not guided themselves, and made the outwits of others behind the scenes. Since that report was issued, we have taken the trouble to obtain accurate information relative to the alleged facts upon which its conclusions are based, and we find them to be a tissue of fallacies. The foundation being, therefore, undermined, the sickly superstructure falls to the ground.

Whether the citizens of New York have to pay \$15 instead of \$12 for lighting the public lamps, is a matter, after all, of very little moment, provided they